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Abstract
Aims: Adolescence is one the most precarious periods of life, concerning the drug abuse. The social cost of the drug abuse and injury among adolescents is extraordinary and requires intervention. The theory of planned behavior (TPB) is perhaps the most influential theory for prediction of social and health behaviors including drug abuse. This study aimed at designing and implementing a curriculum based on the TPB for preventing adolescents from drug abuse.

Methods and Materials: This quasi-experimental study was conducted in Hamadan, west Iran. We recruited 140 male high school students from randomly selected schools: they were divided into experimental group, n = 70 and control group, n = 70. The experimental group received 20 hours educational program based on the TPB. The control group receives no intervention.

Findings: Compared to the control group, experimental group have significantly elevated post test scores for attitude (19.07 vs. 15.28, p < .001), subjective norm (18.08 vs. 16.45, p < .001), perceived behavioral control (51.67 vs. 54.82, p < .001); and their intention to use drug significantly decreased (p = 0.082)

Conclusions: The TPB-based educational program may be effective in prevention of substance abuse among adolescents.
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Introduction
About 210 million individuals use drugs in the world and nearly 200,000 of them die each year, as a result. There continues to be enormous unmet needs for drug use prevention, treatment, care and support; particularly in developing countries [1]. Iran is one of developing countries where frequency of substance abuse is increasing, specifically among adolescents [2].

Studies have revealed that 6.9% of Tehran high school students used illicit drugs [3]. Other studies on adolescents and young adults of 15 to 35 in Tehran show that prevalence of psychedelic drug abuse is 3.8%, psycho stimulant drug abuse is 7.2%, and alcohol abuse is 25.7% [4]. Studies also show that cigarettes, alcohol, marijuana and opium are the most common substances among adolescents in Iran [5]. Studies in other countries show that cigarettes, alcohol and drugs are common substance among adolescents [6].

Researches show that the drug abuse onset age is 13 to 18 in Iran. The high prevalence of substance use in adolescents and its change into consumption patterns make it necessary to consider this fundamental problem of adolescents. Primary preventive intervention for drug abuse in youth should be performed before the start of substance abuse [7]. Substance abuse is the most prevalent problem among the Iranian students which requires precise plans and preventive programs to protect them [8].

The theory-based educational programs provide more chances to change the behavior. The theory has been frequently used to change the health behaviors. This theory has been successful in planning preventive substance abuse programs [9, 10, 11, 12, and 13].

Ajzen (1988) introduced the theory of planned behavior (TPB) and developed it in 1991 based on the theory of reasoned action to predict and explain human behavior in specific contexts. This theory consists of attitudes, subjective norms, perceived behavioral control, intention and behavior. Models predicts the occurrence of a specific behavior, provided that the individual intents to perform it [14, 15].

In this study, the TPB has been used to plan and implement programs for preventing the high school students from substance abuse.

Methods
Participants and Procedure
This study was part of a project conducted among the male high school students in Hamadan (2012), aiming at providing the required knowledge for prevention of drug abuse. The 140 participants were recruited from four high schools in Hamadan, through Random sampling method. Participants were randomly assigned into two groups: experimental group n=70 and control group.
n=70. The experimental group received 20 hours educational program based on the TPB. The control group receives no intervention.

**Measures**

Prior to conducting the main project, a pilot study was conducted to assess the content validity of the study questionnaires as well as reliability. The pilot study participants were 30 students, similar to those who participated in the main study. The pilot study was conducted to obtain feedback about the clarity, length, comprehensiveness, and the required time for completion of the questionnaires as well as for data collection in order to estimate the internal consistency of the measures.

**Demographics**

Background collected data included: age, education, parents' literacy, number of family members, living with parents or not, history of smoking, having friends who had experienced substance, having family members who had history of drug use.

**Drug use and intention to use drug**

The participants' responses to three questions about the prevalence of drug consumption were used to determine whether they had experienced drug compounds. The questions were about “history of drug compounds use,” “any specific drug compound was at least once used by participants,” and “drug use cycles”.

**TPB Theoretical Variables**

The TPB components assessment items were derived from the scales of drug abuse among students [16, 17]. There were 36 items for measuring the following constructs: (1) attitude, (2) subjective norms, (3) perceived behavior control, and (4) behavioral intention. The twelve items measured specifically attitudes toward drug abuse (e.g., drug use causing me to be comfort). Eleven items measured subjective norms toward the use of the drugs (e.g., if I use drug, my friend will confirm it), and twelve items measured the perceived behavior control of not using drugs (e.g., I believe that I can manage myself against pressure of using drug). Additionally, behavioral intention to drug usage was measured in one item (I intend to use drug in the next 6 months). A 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) was applied for all items. The reliability coefficients for the above mentioned constructs were as follows: attitude ($\alpha = 0.81$), subjective norms ($\alpha = 0.84$), perceived behavior control ($\alpha = 0.91$), and behavioral intention ($\alpha = 0.87$), which verified internal consistency of the measurements. Questionnaires were completed by the students before and two months after the intervention.
The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) was used to data is and descriptive statistics was used to summarize and organize the data. T-test and Chi-square were used to determine the relation between the two groups. The paired t-test and McNemar test were used to determine the score differences before and after intervention.

Results
The age of the students in experimental group and control group was 16 and 17 and all students were in grade 10. Regarding the living status, 90.7% of the participants were living with both parents, 9.3% with one parent. Also, 3.6% of students had used cigarette in lifelong (experimental group 2.9% and control group 4.3%). Chi-square test shows no significant difference between the two groups (P=0.649). 9.3% of students have reported a history of substance abuse by best friends. (Experimental group 10% and control group 8.6%). Chi-square test also shows no significant differences between the two groups (P=0.771). Table 1 is a comparison of background variables in experimental and control groups. Statistical tests did not show significant differences between the two groups.

Table 1: Comparison of background variables in experimental and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living status</td>
<td>3.880</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use among friends</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use among the best friends</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use among family</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of the scores of experimental and control groups, pre and post educational intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre-intervention</th>
<th>Post- intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>0.842*</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective norms</td>
<td>0.675*</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived behavior control</td>
<td>0.603*</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to drug use</td>
<td>0.805**</td>
<td>0.042**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* t-test, ** Chi-square test
Table 3: The relationship between students' scores in two groups before and after intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_{value}$</td>
<td>$P_{value}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>0.176*</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective norms</td>
<td>0.175*</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived behavior control</td>
<td>0.094*</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to drug use</td>
<td>0.082**</td>
<td>0.039**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*paired t-tests, ** McNemar test

In order to determine the relation between the two groups, t-test and Chi-square test were used. T-test results showed no significant difference among the scores of the two groups' attitude ($p = 0.842$), subjective norms ($p = 0.675$), perceived behavior control ($p = 0.603$) before intervention, but there are significant differences after intervention; attitude ($p < 0.001$), subjective norms ($p = 0.035$), perceived behavior control ($p < 0.001$). Chi-square test showed no significant difference between the intention to drug use of the two groups before intervention ($p = 0.805$), but there are significant difference after intervention ($p = 0.042$), (table 2)

The students' scores were also compared before and after the intervention; it was revealed that the scores in the experimental group were higher than those in the control group.

Attitude score in the control group changed from 18.87 to 18.67. Difference of scores before and after intervention was -0.185; which indicates a little change in the students' attitudes toward drug abuse. But the attitude score in the experimental group changed from 19.7 to 15.28. Difference of scores before and after intervention was -3.78 which indicates a significant change in the students' attitudes toward drug abuse.

The subjective norms score in the control group changed from 17.76 to 17.97. The difference of scores before and after intervention was 0.185 which indicates a little change in the students' subjective norms scores. But the subjective norms score in the experimental group changed from 18.8 to 16.45. Difference of the scores before and after
intervention was -1.6 which indicates a significant change in the students' subjective norms scores.

The perceived behavior control score in the control group changed from 51.98 to 51.78. Difference of the scores before and after intervention was -0.20 which indicates a little change in the students' perceived behavior control scores. But the perceived behavior control score in the experimental group changed from 51.67 to 54.82. Difference of the scores before and after intervention was 3.15 which indicates a significant change in the students' perceived behavior control scores (table 4).

Table 4: Comparison of the scores of students in two groups before and after educational intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>Differences before &amp; after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre intervention</td>
<td>Post intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post intervention</td>
<td>Differences before &amp; after</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective norms</td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>17.97</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived behavior control</td>
<td>51.98</td>
<td>51.78</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.67</td>
<td>54.82</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Substance abuse is often initiated during adolescence. Attempt to acquire social and individual identities, low self-esteem and the lack of communication skills make adolescents prone to substance abuse [18].

The use of models and theories of health education are effective factors in predicting the substance abuse. TPB is used in planning and implementing substance abuse prevention programs among adolescents in high school settings [19].

The results of this study as well as similar studies show that the TPB is a strong predictor of substance abuse. This theory can be used in planning and implementing the programs for prevention of drug abuse among adolescents [20, 21, 22 and 23].

In this study 3.6% of the students reported that they had used cigarette in lifelong. The results of the similar study on the students in Shiraz show that 2.5% of students are cigarette smoking regularly [24]. In another study, the prevalence of smoking among the students of Hamadan has been reported as 10.2% [25].

Cigarette smoking is one of the most important risk factors in the adolescents tended to drug abuse [26]. Planning for smoking prevention may be effective in prevention of drug abuse by adolescents.

In this study, 9.3% of the students have reported a history of substance abuse with their best friends. Other studies confirmed the relationship between drug abuse and peer
group drug abuse [27]. Therefore, peer groups should be considered in substance abuse prevention programs. The results of this study showed that in the experimental group, the TPB variables (attitude, subjective norms, perceived behavior control, and behavioral intention) are statistically different before and after educational programs. Substance abuse intention has significantly decreased after the educational program in the experimental group compared with the control group. Studies conducted using the TPB confirmed the results of this study. Huang attempted to prevent the Thai students from drug abuse; the results indicate that the TPB-based educational program is effective in the prevention of drug abuse among students [28]. Rodriguez Kurri studied the Mexican adolescents, and concluded that the programs significantly decreased the drug abuse intention in the experimental group [29]. Konning's study designed to prevent alcohol abuse among adolescents. The results showed that alcohol intake significantly decreased after the educational intervention [30]. Gemma studied the reduction of alcohol consumption; the results showed that alcohol intake reduction in the intervention group was less than the control group [31].

Conclusion
Drug abuse is a challenging fact in the world and needs interventions of the community organizers and the health promotion professionals. They must work and develop effective programs to prevent and control it. Applying theories and models of behavior change, particularly the theory of planned behavior as well as planning and implementing the educational programs may be effective in prevention of drug abuse among adolescents.
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